DOI: 10.5455/annalsmedres.2019-05-282

2019;26(8):1718-20

A case of fascioliasis mimicking malignancy

Idris Oruc¹, Zeynep Oruc², Esref Arac³, Muhammet Ali Kaplan⁴

¹Mersin City Hospital, Clinic of Internal Medicine, Mersin, Turkey ²Mersin City Hospital, Clinic of Medical Oncology, Mersin, Turkey ³Diyarbakir Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Internal Medicine, Diyarbakir, Turkey ⁴Dicle University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Medical Oncology, Diyarbakir, Turkey

Copyright © 2019 by authors and Annals of Medical Research Publishing Inc.

Abstract

Fascioliasis is a zoonotic infection usually observed in developing countries and is endemic in Southeast Anatolia, Turkey. Sometimes, its clinical symptoms may mimic and resemble those of other diseases, such as malignancy or stone. Fascioliasis, initially considered as malignancy, can lead to unnecessary invasive procedures. A 69-year-old man presented with hepatic masses. Positron emission tomography (PET/CT), upper gastrointestinal endoscopy and colonoscopy performed to detect the primary tumour showed no lesion, except in the liver. The patient had eosinophilia and a history of raising livestock in an endemic area. The result of the indirect hemagglutination test for Fasciolia hepatica was 1/320. Serologic evaluation and dynamic computed tomography confirmed the diagnosis. Occassionally, it may be difficult to differentiate fascioliasis from malignancy. Fascioliasis should be considered in the differential diagnosis of patients with suspicious masses in the liver, especially in those living in endemic areas, prior to conducting invasive procedures.

Keywords: Fasciola hepatica; fascioliasis; malignancy.

INTRODUCTION

Fascioliasis is a zoonotic infection commonly observed in developing countries and has the highest prevalence in sheep-rearing areas (1).

The infection is endemic in Southeast Anatolia, Turkey. The prevalence of Fascioliasis in Turkey is 0.03%-0.8% (2). Definitive hosts are sheep and goats. Humans are incidental hosts in the life cycle of this parasite and most often acquire infection by consuming watercress that has been grown in sheep-raising areas. The infection may also be transmitted via other freshwater plants (1).

The complex lifecycle of the parasite includes a hepatic phase and a biliary phase. Flukes cause destruction of the hepatic tissue and obstruction in the biliary ducts through thickening, dilatation and fibrosis of the segmental bile ducts (3).

Up to 50% of F. hepatica infections are asymptomatic. Common symptoms are pain in the right upper quadrant of abdomen, fever, hepatomegaly and marked eosinophilia observed intrahepatic phase. Other symptoms include jaundice, anorexia, nausea, vomiting, myalgia, cough and

urticaria (3). Owing to the non-specific symptoms and findings of these diseases, diagnosis and treatment are commonly delayed.

Most common laboratory findings are elevatedliver enzymes (ALT and AST) and cholestasis enzymes (ALP and GGT) (4). Eosinophilia, which is present in all infections at all stages, can be used as a diagnostic factor in ectopic and early stage infections in which eggs are not present in stool samples.

Diagnosis is typically made via serology or detection of parasites in stool upon clinical suspicion (3). Sometimes, diagnosis may be delayed or difficult in the course of the disease because clinical symptoms may mimic or resemble those of other diseases.

Patients may present with malignancy-like clinical presentations such as liver masses, extrahepatic cholestatic jaundice, weight loss and fever. Infections that are initially diagnosed as malignancy can lead to unnecessary interventions, including invasive procedures (2).

Stool examination is not sufficient for diagnosis. Eggs are not detected in stool samples during the acute

Received: 27.05.2019 Accepted: 05.07.2019 Available online: 29.08.2019

Corresponding Author: Zeynep Oruc, Mersin City Hospital, Clinic of Medical Oncology, Mersin, Turkey

E-mail: zeynep44oruc@hotmail.com

phase of infection or when ectopic fascioliasis has occurred. In such cases, immunoserological tests can be used in the early hepatic stage, but enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (FAST-ELISA) testing is more rapid and reliable, with a sensitivity of 95% (5). The infection can be shown more easily via endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP). The organisms can be removed directly (6).

Typical radiological imaging of fascioliasis is more likely to be correctly reported by radiologists in areas in which the disease is prevalent (7). Computed tomography scans may reveal burrow tracts made by the worms and dilation of the bile ducts.

The first-line treatment is a single dose of triclabendazole (10 mg/kg), which is effective at all stages of fascioliasis with a cure rate higher than 90% (8).

Here we present a case that was initially thought to be that of malignancy, and the diagnosis of fascioliasis was made without unnecessary invasive procedures.

CASE REPORT

A 69-year-old man, who had abdominal pain for several months, was admitted to the hospital. Physical examination revealed minimal tenderness in the right upper quadrant, and abdominal ultrasonography revealed heterogeneity in the liver parenchyma with two solid lesions (40 mm and 50 mm in diameter) in the right hepatic lobe. Based on radiological findings, the pre-diagnosis was that of malignancy. The patient was referred to the department of medical oncology with hepatic masses, which led to the suspicion of metastasis of an unknown origin or cholangiocarcinoma.

Abdominopelvic CT revealed multiple, hypodense, irregular, nodular focal lesions of different sizes in segments of the right hepatic lobe (figure 1). In addition, intraabdominal lymphadenopathy was identified with the largest lymph node having a diameter of 1.5 cm. Results of upper gastrointestinal endoscopy revealed gastritis, and those of colonoscopy showed normal findings. Owing to the initial suspicion of malignancy, positron emission tomography (PET/CT) was performed to detect the primary tumour. PET/CT images showed no lesion except in the liver. Laboratory values revealed mild leukocytosis (11,150 mm3) with eosinophilia (65%), which led to the suspicion of a parasitic infection. Peripheral blood smear confirmed eosinophilia. All other parameters were normal, and all tumour markers, including Ca 19-9 and carcinoembryonic antigen, were within normal limits. To obtain tissue for pathological diagnosis, a biopsy was planned but not performed. Because the patient had eosinophilia and a history of raising livestock in an endemic area, parasitic disease and paraneoplastic syndromes were suspected at first. For differential diagnosis, stool examinations were performed, but there were no eggs, likely because the patient was in the acute phase of infection. Serologic evaluations were performed. The result of an indirect hemagglutination test for F.hepatica was 1/320(FAST-

ELISA). In the current case, dynamic CT confirmed the diagnosis; thus ERCP was not performed for diagnosis and treatment. The patient was treated with triclabendazole (a single oral dose of 10 mg/kg). After three weeks, the patient's symptom resolved and eosinophilia disappeared. Follow-up serological tests and CT confirmed the eradication. After three months, serological tests returned to normal, and CT showed regression of the mass and lymphadenopathy.



Figure 1. Appearance of locally irregular hypodense areas associated with Fasciola hepatica in liver in dinamic computerized tomography.

DISCUSSION

Presentations of helminthic infections can initially be similar to those of some cancers. In a review article, F.hepatica infections and some other helminthic infections have been reported to mimic different malignancies (9).

Usually, diagnoses of helminthic infections are based on histology from tissue samples obtained during biopsy or surgery. Invasive procedures and investigations can be avoided in some cases if helminthic infections are considered early and appropriate diagnostic methods are performed. Diagnosis can be established by identifying helminth eggs in stool, duodenal aspirates or bile specimens and serological testing (9).

The only clinical complaint in the present case was of abdominal pain. The patient had no fever or weight loss, and all of these symptoms were non-specific. Abdominal ultrasonography showed hepatic masses, but levels of all tumour markers were within normal values. Gastrointestinal endoscopy, colonoscopy and positron emission tomography showed no lesion, except in the liver. To obtain tissue for pathological diagnosis, a biopsy was planned but was not performed. Because the patient had a history of raising livestock and eosinophilia, helminthic disease and paraneoplastic syndromes were suspected at first. The history of cattle raising and hypereosinophilia were useful indicators for diagnosing fascioliasis. Physicians practicing in endemic areas should be aware of this disease.

While evaluating patients with liver masses with concurrent hypereosinophilia, such as in the present case, it is essential to include parasitic infections in the differential diagnosis, especially in patients living in endemic regions. Our initial suspicions were those of primary or secondary hepatic malignancy for this patient. However, serological studies and radiological imaging revealed a parasitic infection. CT images of F. hepatica are sometimes confused with malignancy or stones, as reported in our case (10,11).

Radiologic examinations are helpful in the diagnosis of fascioliasis. CT usually helps in diagnosis of 90% of patients with acute fascioliasis. Characteristic features are multiple small, sharply non-confined hypodense lesions, microabscesses showing branching and subcapsular localisation of lesions (12).

CT scan and serology were performed to establish a definite diagnosis in the present case. On radiological examinations, intrahepatic fascioliasis showed multiple locally irregular hypodense areas. Essential serologic tests for diagnosing acute fascioliasis include FAST-ELISA, complement fixation, indirect immunofluorescence (IIF), counterelectrophoresis, indirect hemagglutination and double diffusion. These tests are quite sensitive (9). IgG antibody against F. hepatica was positive in our patient. Another diagnostic method is the determination of Fasciola ovaries and adult form in biliary aspiration via ERCP (13).

Several drugs, such as praziquantel, bithionol or triclabendazole, can be used for treating fascioliasis. The patient was treated using triclabendazole. There were no side effects, and a single dose was effective. Follow-up examination revealed that all symptoms and eosinophilia were resolved. Triclabendazole is recommended as the first step in the treatment of fascioliasis because of its ease of use and good tolerance (14); treatmentof fascioliasis has a success rate of 80%–100%. With therapy, surgery may be necessary in extreme cases to clear the biliary tract (15).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, sometimes,fascioliasisis difficult to differentiate from malignancies, such as hepatic

malignancy, liver metastasis or cholangiocarcinoma. Fascioliasis should be considered in the differential diagnosis of patients with suspicious masses in the liver, especially in endemic areas, before conducting further investigations and invasive procedures.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Financial Disclosure: There are no financial supports

Idris Oruc ORCID: 0000-0002-7931-2232 Zeynep Oruc ORCID: 0000-0002-7931-2941 Esref Arac ORCID: 0000-0001-7031-2480 Muhammet Ali Kaplan ORCID: 0000-0003-0882-0524

REFERENCES

- Mas-Coma S.Epidemiology of fascioliasis in human areas. J Helminthol 2005;79,207-216
- Ozturhan H, Emekdaş G, Sezgin O, et al. SSeroepidemiology of Fasciola Hepatica in Mersin province and surrounding towns and the role of family history of the Fascioliasis in the transmission of the parasite. Turk J Gastroenterol 2009;20:198-203
- 3. Yılmaz B, Köklü S, Gedikoğlu G. Hepatic mass caused by Fascioa hepatica:a tricky differential diagnosis. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2013;89:1212-3.
- 4. Bektaş M, Dökmeci A, Çınar K, et al. Endoscopic management of biliary parasitic diseases. Dig Dis Sci 2010;55:1472-8.
- Espinoza JR, Maco V, Marcos L, et al. Evaluation of Fas2-ELISA for the serological detection of Fasciola hepatica infection in humans. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2007;76:977-82.
- Ezzat RF, Karboli TA, Kasnazani KA, et al. Endoscopic management of biliary fascioliasis: a case report. AM J Med Case Reports 2010;4:83.
- Behar JM, Winston JS, Borgstein R. Hepatic fascioliasis at a London hospital the importance of recognising typical radiological features to avoid a delay in diagnosis. Br J Radiol 2009;82:189-93.
- 8. Millan JC, Mull R, Freise S, et al. Triclabendazole Study Group. The efficacy and tolerability of triclabendazole in Cuban patients with latent and chronic Fasciola hepatica infection. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2000;63:264-9.
- Pilsczek FH. Helminthic infections mimicking malignancy:a review of published case reports. J Infect Dev Ctries 2010;4:425-9.
- 10. Dias LM, Silva R, Viana HL, et al. Biliary fascioliasis: diagnosis, treatment and follow-up by ERCP. Gastrointest Endosc 1996;43:616-9.
- Şenateş E, Doğan A, Şenates BE, et al. An incidental case of biliary fascioliasis mimicking cholangiocellular carcinoma. Infez Med. 2014;22:313-6
- 12. Han JK, Choi BI, Cho JM, et al. Radiological findings of human fascioliasis. Abdom Imaging 1993;18:261-4.
- 13. Hillyer GV, Soler de Galanes M, Rodriquez-perez J, et al. Use of the falcon assay screening test enzyme linked immunoserbent assay (FAST-ELISA) and the enzyme linked immunoelectrotransfer blot (ZITB) to determine the prevalance of human fascioliasis in the Bolivian Altiplano. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1992;46:603-9
- 14. Keiser J, Engels D, Buscher G, et al. Trilabendazole for the treatment of fascioliasis and paragonimiasis. Expert Opin Investig Drugs 2005;14:1513-26.
- 15. Chitsulo, Montresor and Savioli. New opportunities for the control of fascioliasis. Bulletin of the World Health Organization.16 May 2001