Current issue list available at AnnMedRes

Ann Med Res

Annals of Medical Research





# Investigation of antioxidant effect of silibinin molecule on U-2 OS cells induced by hydrogen peroxide

<sup>™</sup>Kubra Gunduz<sup>a</sup>, <sup>™</sup>Hasret Ecevit<sup>b</sup>, <sup>®</sup>Emre Dirican<sup>c</sup>, <sup>®</sup>Meral Urhan Kucuk<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Hatay Mustafa Kemal University, Institute of Health Sciences, Department of Molecular Biochemistry and Genetics, Hatay, Turkey University of Health Sciences, Institute of Hamidiye Health Sciences, Department of Medical Biology, Istanbul, Turkey <sup>b</sup>Hatay Mustafa Kemal University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Medical Biology, Hatay, Turkey

<sup>c</sup>Hatay Mustafa Kemal University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Biostatistics and Medical Informatics, Hatay, Turkey

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Silibinin Antioxidant effect U-2 OS cell line

Oxidative stress

Received: Oct 21, 2021 Accepted: Mar 09, 2022 Available Online: May XX, 2022

DOI: 10.5455/annalsmedres.2021.09.558

#### Abstract

Aim: Silibinin is one of the active constituent of silymarin, an extract of the Silybum marianum L. seeds. There are previous studies that have reported the antioxidant, antiinflammatory, anti-apoptotic and anti-carcinogenic effects of silibinin. However, the antioxidant effect of silibinin on bone cancer have been not evaluated before. In our study, we aimed to evaluate the effect of silibinin on U-2 OS cell proliferation and oxidative stress parameters catalase enzyme activity and MDA level.

Materials and Methods: U-2 OS cells were exposed to  $H_2O_2$  (0-800  $\mu M$   $H_2O_2$ , for 24 and 48 hours) to form an oxidative damaged cell model. Moreover, the cells were exposed to silibinin  $(0-100 \ \mu\text{M})$  for 24 hours. Malondialdehyde (MDA) level and antioxidant enzyme catalase (CAT) activity were determined by spectrophotometric analysis.

**Results:** CAT activity and MDA level were observed to be higher in both  $H_2O_2$  exposure groups compared to control group (p < 0.05). Moreover, CAT activity and MDA level were observed to be lower in 500  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+silibinin groups in comparation with 500  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> group (p < 0.05). Similarly, MDA level was determined to be lower in 650  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+10  $\mu$ M silibinin group in comparation to 650  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> group (p<0.05).

Conclusion: In conclusion, it was observed that silibinin molecule has anti-proliferative effect and decreases catalase enzyme activity and MDA level in a concentration dependent manner.

Copyright © 2022 The author(s) - Available online at www.annalsmedres.org. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

#### Introduction

Osteosarcoma is one of the most common primary malignant bone tumor in children and adolescents [1]. They are the primary mesenchymal tumors that are characterized histologically osteoid production by malignant cells. These tumors classified in solid tumors and named as primary bone tumors since they originate directly from bone tissue [2]. These tumors are generally locally aggressive and tend to produce early systemic metastases. They are kept separate from other malignant tumors and metastases that form in other organs. Most osteosarcoma grows and spreads quickly which causes patient to die if an effective treatment is not provided.

In normal cells, reactive oxygen species (ROS) containing superoxide anions ( $\cdot$ O2-), hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and high reactive hydroxyl radical (OH) are produced as

by-products of cellular metabolism and are found in the balance of cellular redox with biochemical antioxidants. Oxidant / antioxidant balance plays an important role in the onset and progression of cancer [3]. This vital balance is impaired in many cancers as a result of ROS accumulation which result with oxidative stress condition [4]. ROS damage macromolecules such as DNA, RNA and proteins which contribute to the development of many diseases including cancer [5]. The human body has several mechanisms to prevent oxidative stress condition. Antioxidants are produced naturally in situ (endogenous) or can externally supplied through foods and supplements (exogenous). Endogenous and exogenous antioxidants act as free radical scavengers by preventing and repairing the damage caused by ROS. Therefore, it can increase immune defense and reduce the risk of many diseases such as cancer [6].

Silybum marianum L. Gaertn. is a member of Asteraceae family which has been used as a medicinal plant in the treatment of various diseases for thousands of years [7]. It naturally grows in southern Europe, southern Russia, Asia

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author:

Email address: meralurhan@hotmail.com (
Meral Urhan Kucuk)

Minor and northern Africa and is naturalized in North and South America as well as in South Australia [7, 8]. Silymarin is an isomeric mixture of flavonoid complexes representing 1.5-3% of the dry weight of the *silybum marianum* L. seed [9]. It consists of silibinin, isosilibin, silicristin, silidianin, dehydrosilibin and other phenolic compounds [10] Silibinin is the most abundant compound in the structure of silymarin with a percentage about 50-70%. There are previous studies indicating the antioxidant [11], antiinflammatory [12], anti-apoptotic [13], anti-carcinogenic [14] and anti-angiogenic effects [15] of silibinin.

Flavonoids (Fl-OH) are a group of natural compounds found in plants which has various phenolic structures. There are previous studies that have been shown the antioxidant activity and bio-protective properties of flavonoids [16]. Silibinin which has been known to be a powerful antioxidant has flavonoid properties [17]. It inhibits free radical formation and lipid peroxidation in membranes which mediates the modulation of membrane permeability [18].

There are previous studies that have reported the antioxidant [11], anti-inflammatory [12], anti-apoptotic [13], and anti-carcinogenic [14] effects of silibinin. The effect of silibinin on various cancer types also have been reported. However, the antioxidant effect of silibinin on bone cancer have been not evaluated before. In our study, we aimed to evaluate the effect of silibinin on U-2 OS cell proliferation and oxidative stress parameters catalase enzyme activity and MDA level.

### Materials And Methods

### $Cell\ culture$

In our study, human bone cancer cell line (U-2 OS) was used which supplied from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC<sup>®</sup> HTB-96<sup>™</sup>). U-2 OS cells were produced in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Cat no: 41966-029, Gibco, USA) which contain % 10 Fetal Calf Serum (FCS) (Cat no: 10270106, Gibco, USA) and % 1 penicillin streptomycin (Cat no: 15140122, Gibco, USA) and in 95 % humidity, 5 % CO<sub>2</sub> and 37 °C incubator conditions (NuAire NU-5830, USA). The culture medium was changed twice a week and was used in experiments after they cover the bottom of the wells by 70-80 %.

Cell viability

U-2 OS cells were seeded in 24 well cell culture plates (Cat no: NC-930186, Thermo, USA) (50.000 cell/mL) with. The cells were incubated in serum-free medium for 24 hours after they cover the bottom of the wells by 70-80 %. Then the cells were exposed to  $H_2O_2$  (Cat no: 7722841, Sigma, USA) (0, 500, 650, 750 and 800  $\mu$ M concentrations) for 24 and 48 hours and silibinin (Cat no: S0417-1G, Sigma, USA) [dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Cat no: 41640-2.5L, Sigma, USA) and 0, 5, 10, 20, 30, 50, 75 and 100  $\mu$ M concentrations] for 24 hours prepared in serum-free medium to determine the appropriate concentration and exposure time to create an oxidative stress model. Cell viability was evaluated by MTT (Cat no: M2128-1G, Sigma, USA) assay. Suitable H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentrations to create an oxidative stress model was determined as 500 and 650 µM conditions whereas it was 5 and 10

 $\mu M$  for silibinin. The exposure time of experiments was determined as 24 hours.

### Oxidative stress parameters

U-2 OS cells were seeded in 75 cm<sup>2</sup> cell culture flasks (Cat no: NC-130190, Thermo, USA) (200.000 cell/mL) with appropriate culture medium. The cells were incubated in serum-free medium for 24 hours after they cover the bottom of the wells by 70-80 %. Then U-2 OS cells were exposed to  $H_2O_2$  (500 and 650  $\mu$ M) and silibinin (5 and 10  $\mu$ M) for 24 hours. U-2 OS cells were classified into 7 groups as;

Control group without exposure to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and silibinin,

500 and 650  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> group,

5 and 10  $\mu\mathrm{M}$  silibinin group,

500  $\mu M$  H\_2O\_2+5  $\mu M$  silibinin group,

 $500 \ \mu M H_2O_2 + 10 \ \mu M$  silibinin group,

 $650 \ \mu M H_2O_2 + 5 \ \mu M$  silibinin group,

 $650 \ \mu M H_2O_2 + 10 \ \mu M$  silibinin group.

After exposure under the above conditions the cells were scraped from flasks with cold Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) (Cat no: 14175053, Gibco, USA) and collected into a separate tube. They were stored at -80  $^{0}$ C until experiments. After homogenization process [TissueLyserLT (Qiagen, Germany)] the cells were used for catalase enzyme activity, MDA and total protein measurements.

### Measurement of lipid peroxidation

The method reported by Jain JK et al. (19) was modified according to cell culture and used for MDA measurement. The final product of lipid peroxidation, MDA, forms a pink colored complex as a result of its incubation with thiobarbituric acid at 95  $^{\circ}$ C and pH: 3.5 under aerobic conditions. The amount of MDA was determined by measuring this complex spectrophotometrically at 532 nm.

## Measurement of catalase enzyme activity

Catalase enzyme activity was measured according to the method suggested by Aebi H. et al. (20) and which was developed by Latillot S. et al. (21). The method modified according to cell culture. Enzymatic activity determination was performed according to the decrease of  $H_2O_2$  absorbance value at 240 nm over time as a result of its interaction with catalase enzyme.

#### Statistically analyses

In this study, the statistically evaluation of cell viability analyses was performed by GraphPad Prism 5 (Graph-Pad Software Inc. USA) software. Comparation between groups were carried out by One-way analysis of variance ANOVA and Tukey test.

The evaluation of oxidative stress parameters was performed by IBM SPSS Statistics 20 software. Comparation between groups were carried out by Mann-Whitney U test. p < 0.05 values were considered as statistically significant for all analyses.

Table 1. Catalase enzyme activity levels in U-2 OS cells as a result of 500 $\mu$ M H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> exp
---

GROUPS	Mean ± Standard Deviation	Median	p value (Compared to control)	p value (Compared 500 μM)
Control group (without H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> treatment)	13.66 ± 1.24	13.68	-	0.034*
500 μM H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	41.71 ± 9.78	38.32	0.034*	-
5 μM silibinin	32.03 ± 14.12	30.73	0.014*	0.297
10 μM silibinin	22.32 ± 9.34	21.96	0.050*	0.053
500 μM H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + 5 μM silibinin	22.17 ± 4.38	21.39	0.034*	0.050*
500 μM H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + 10 μM silibinin	$19.88 \pm 8.80$	21.08	0.480	0.050*

Table 2. Catalase enzyme activity levels in U-2 OS cells as a result of 650  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>exposure.

GROUPS	Mean ± Standard Deviation	Median	p value (Compared to control)	p value (Compared 650 μM)
Control group (without H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> treatment)	13.66 ± 1.24	13.68	-	0.021*
650 μM H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	$23.92 \pm 0.77$	24.17	0.021*	-
5 μM silibinin	32.03 ± 14.12	30.73	0.014*	0.624
10 μM silibinin	22.32 ± 9.34	21.96	0.050*	0.221
650 μM H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + 5 μM silibinin	24.57 ± 1.95	25.01	0.021*	0.564
650 $\mu$ M H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + 10 $\mu$ M silibinin	$25.46 \pm 6.97$	23.35	0.021*	1.000

Table 3. MDA level in U-2 OS cells as a result of 500  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>exposure.

GROUPS	Mean ± Standard Deviation	Median	p value (Compared to control)	p value (Compared 500 μM)
Control group (without $H_2O_2$ treatment)	$4.30 \pm 0.36$	4.18	-	0.050*
500 μM H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	$7.74 \pm 1.40$	8.42	0.050*	-
5 μM silibinin	$4.43 \pm 0.70$	4.22	0.827	0.050*
10 μM silibinin	$4.15 \pm 0.98$	3.74	0.513	0.050*
500 μM H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + 5 μM silibinin	$4.94 \pm 0.45$	4.78	0.127	0.050*
500 μM H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + 10 μM silibinin	$4.74 \pm 0.91$	4.27	0.513	0.050*

Table 4. MDA level in U-2 OS cells as a result of 650  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>exposure.

GROUPS	Mean ± Standard Deviation	Median	p value (Compared to control)	p value (Compared 650 μM)
Control group (without H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> treatment)	$4.30 \pm 0.36$	4.18	-	0.050*
650 μM H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	$5.88 \pm 0.44$	6.14	0.050*	-
5 μM silibinin	$4.43 \pm 0.69$	4.22	0.827	0.050*
10 μM silibinin	$4.15 \pm 0.98$	3.74	0.513	0.050*
650 μM H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + 5 μM silibinin	$5.85 \pm 0.07$	5.81	0.050*	0.513
650 μM H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + 10 μM silibinin	5.16 ± 0.11	5.18	0.050*	0.050*

#### Results

There was statistically significant difference between control and 650-800  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> groups (p < 0.05) in terms of cell viability as a result of 24 hour exposure whereas there were not any difference between control and 500  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> group (p > 0.05). In addition, cell viability was observed to be significantly lower in 650, 750 and 800  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> groups when compared to 500  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> group (p < 0.05) (Figure 1). There was not any significant difference between groups after 48 hour H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> exposure.

There were statistically significant difference between control and 20-100  $\mu$ M silibinin groups as a result of 24 hour

silibinin exposure (p < 0.05) whereas there was not any significant difference between control 5 and 10  $\mu$ M silibinin group (p > 0.05). Cell viability was observed to be significantly lower in 30-100  $\mu$ M silibinin groups compared to 5  $\mu$ M silibinin group (p < 0.05) (Figure 2). Moreover, in 50-100  $\mu$ M silibinin groups, cell viability was shown to decrease significantly when compared to both 10, 20 and 30  $\mu$ M silibinin groups separately. In addition, there were statistically significant decrease in 75-100  $\mu$ M silibinin groups according to 50  $\mu$ M silibinin group (not shown).



**Figure 1.** The evaluation of cell viability of U-2 OS cells as a result of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>exposure for 24 and 48 hours. Comparation of all groups versus control group; \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01and \*\*\*p<0.00.Comparation of all groups versus 500 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>group; #p<0.05, ##p<0.01 and ###p<0.001.



Figure 3. Catalase enzyme activity levels in U-2 OS cells after 500 and 650  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>exposure. The comparation between control and other groups indicated as 'a' whereas between 500 and 650  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>and other groups as 'b'. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01 and \*\*\*p < 0.001



Figure 2. The evaluation of cell viability as a result of silibinin exposure for 24 hour in U-2 OS cells. Comparation of all groups versus control group; \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01 and \*\*\*p < 0.00.Comparation of all groups versus 5 µM Silibiningroup; #p < 0.05, ##p < 0.01 and ###p < 0.001.



Figure 4. MDA level in U-2 OS cells after 500 and 650  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>exposure. The comparation between control and other groups indicated as 'a' whereas between 500 and 650  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>and other groups as 'b'. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01 and \*\*\*p < 0.001

# Catalase enzyme activity

Catalase enzyme activity was found to be significantly higher in 500 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 5 µM silibinin, 10 µM silibinin and 500 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+5 µM silibinin groups compared to control group (p < 0.05) whereas there was not any significance between control and 500 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+10 µM silibinin group (p > 0.05) (Figure 3 and Table 1). Moreover, catalase enzyme activity was found to be significantly lower in 500 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+5 µM silibinin and 500 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+10 µM silibinin groups compared to 500 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+10 µM silibinin groups compared to 500 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> group (p < 0.05) (Figure 3 and Table 1).

In addition, catalase enzyme activity was found to be significantly higher in 650  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 5  $\mu$ M silibinin, 10  $\mu$ M silibinin, 650  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+5  $\mu$ M silibinin and 650  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+10  $\mu$ M silibinin groups compared to control group (p < 0.05) (Figure 3 and Table 2). However, there were not any significance between 650  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+5  $\mu$ M silibinin and 650  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+silibinin groups (650  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+5  $\mu$ M silibinin and 650  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+10  $\mu$ M silibinin (p > 0.05) (Figure 3 and Table 2).

#### MDA level

In our study MDA level was found to be significantly higher in 500 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> group compared to control group (p < 0.05) whereas there were not any difference in 5 µM silibinin, 10 µM silibinin, 500 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+5 µM silibinin and 500 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+10 µM silibinin groups (p > 0.05) (Figure 4 and Table 3). Moreover, MDA level was found to be significantly lower in 500 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+5 µM silibinin and 500 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+10 µM silibinin groups when compared to 500 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> group (p < 0.05) (Figure 4 and Table 3).

In addition, MDA level was found to be significantly higher in 650 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 650 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+5 µM silibinin and 650 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+10 µM silibinin group compared to control group (p < 0.05) whereas there was not any difference in 5 µM silibinin and 10 µM silibinin groups (p > 0.05) (Figure 4 and Table 4). Moreover, MDA level was found to be significantly lower in 650 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+10 µM silibinin group when compared to 650 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> group (p < 0.05) whereas there were not any significant difference in terms of 650 µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+5 µM silibinin group (p > 0.05) (Figure 4 and Table 4).

#### Discussion

The basic treatment for osteosarcoma, one of the most common malignant bone tumor in childhood and youth, is surgical and systemic chemotherapy. However, the fiveyear survival rate after surgical treatment is only about 20%. Therefore, new treatment approaches and the discovery/research of new therapeutic agents and/or supplements have become very important.

Flavonoids are a large family of polyphenolic compounds synthesized by plants and have many biological effects mainly due to their antioxidant properties. The antioxidant [11], hepato-protective [22] and anti-cancer [23] properties of silibinin, one of the popular dietary supplement, has been studied extensively. However, the antioxidant effects of this substance on bone cancer have not been studied before.

In this study, we aimed to investigate the effects of silibinin on the cell proliferation and its antioxidant activity on the human bone cancer cell line U-2 OS cells. For this purpose, U-2 OS cells were exposed to  $H_2O_2$  at different doses to create an oxidative stress condition and simultaneously appropriate doses of silibinin to investigate its effect of on cell proliferation, oxidative stress parameter MDA level and antioxidant catalase enzyme activity.

In our study, cell viability was found to decrease significantly at 10, 20, 30, 50, 75 and 100  $\mu$ M silibinin conditions compared to control group whereas there was not any significant difference at 5  $\mu$ M silibinin condition as a result of exposure for 24 hour.

In our study we used two separate control groups to determine the antioxidant effect of silibinin on MDA level and catalase enzyme activity. The first one was the cell group that untreated with  $H_2O_2$  and the second one was the group treated with 500 or 650  $\mu$ M  $H_2O_2$ . The first control group was used to determine MDA level and catalase enzyme activity between  $H_2O_2$  treated group and untreated group whereas the second one was used to determine the antioxidant effect of silibinin.

In previous studies silibinin was shown to have powerful antioxidant effect against various malignant cell lines such as human pancreatic carcinoma [24], human bladder carcinoma [25], human prostate adenocarcinoma [26] and human colon cancer [27] cells.

Catalase, which catalyzes the conversion of hydrogen peroxide to water and molecular oxygen very effectively, shows optimum activity in cases where the concentration of hydrogen peroxide increases. In our study, significant difference had been shown in terms of catalase enzyme activity between H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> exposed (500  $\mu$ M and 650  $\mu$ M) and untreated groups.

 $H_2O_2$ , which occurs in a wide variety of physiological and pathological processes, can increase cell damage as well as it can also be a regulatory molecule as a signal molecule and activate signal pathways. Redox balance which can be linked with oncogenic stimulation is known to impair in cancer cells. In many cancers, changes in signal pathways related to antioxidant enzymes such as SOD, catalase, glutathione peroxidase are clearly known [28]. In this study, catalase enzyme activity was reported to increase in 500  $\mu M H_2O_2+5 \mu M$  silibinin concentration as a result of exposure with  $H_2O_2$  and silibinin simultaneously according to control group in U-2 OS cells. Moreover, catalase enzyme activity was shown to decrease in 500  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+5  $\mu M$  silibinin and 500  $\mu M$  H\_2O\_2+10  $\mu M$  silibinin groups according to 500  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration group. Vecchione G et al. [29] were reported catalase enzyme activity to decrease in steatotic hepatic cells as a result of exposure with 50  $\mu$ mol/L silibinin according to control group similar with our results [29].

Catalase enzyme activity was reported to increase in PC12 cells exposed to 0.4 mM  $H_2O_2$  and 1.2 mM PCA, a phenolic compound isolated from Alpinia oxyphylla beans, whereas PCA and  $H_2O_2$  did not affect the enzyme activity when treated separately [30]. Moreover, Luo et al. [31] reported catalase enzyme activity to decrease in MRC-5 cells as a result of 200  $\mu$ M  $H_2O_2$  exposure for 6 hours whereas it was reported to increase in a dose-depended manner as a result of F2 (radish leaf extract) exposure. Catalase en-

zyme activity was reported to increase 1.52, 2.57 and 3.45 fold as a result of 12.5, 25 and  $50 \ \mu\text{g/mL}$  F2 exposure, respectively in their study in which they have suggested that it retain redox potential [31].

There are previous studies about the investigation of antioxidant effects of flavonoids in the treatment and prevention of many diseases, especially in cancer and cardiovascular diseases [32]. Phenolic compounds that function as antioxidants act as terminators of free radical chains and as chelators of redox-active metal ions that can catalyze lipid peroxidation [33]. Lipid peroxidation is a common result of oxidative stress and MDA occurs as a last product of lipid peroxidation process. Flavonoids protect lipids against oxidative damage by various mechanisms [34].

In our study, MDA level was shown to increase in  $H_2O_2$ exposure groups (500 µM and 650 µM  $H_2O_2$ ) compare to control group. Moreover, it was reported to increase in 650 µM  $H_2O_2+5$  µM silibinin and 650 µM  $H_2O_2+10$  µM silibinin exposures whereas there was not any difference in 500 µM  $H_2O_2+5$  µM silibinin and 500 µM  $H_2O_2+10$  µM silibinin groups. In addition, MDA level was shown to decrease in 500 µM  $H_2O_2+5$  µM silibinin and 500 µM  $H_2O_2+10$  µM silibinin concentrations compared to 500 µM  $H_2O_2+10$  µM silibinin group. There was not any difference in 650 µM  $H_2O_2+5$ µM silibinin group whereas MDA level was reported to decrease in 650 µM  $H_2O_2+10$  µM silibinin group compared to 650 µM  $H_2O_2$ . Therefore, it was observed that silibinin showed antioxidant effects in a dose-depended manner.

Jiang et al. [35] were reported significantly increased MDA level in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-induced HepG2 cells depending on the amount of intracellular ROS. When they exposed HepG2 cells to an antioxidant N-acetyl-serotonin (NAS), they observed that intracellular ROS level significantly changed and the amount of MDA level was shown to decrease. Therefore, they demonstrated that  $H_2O_2$  can stimulate ROS accumulation in HepG2 cells by disrupting the balance of endogenous antioxidant defense mechanisms, and NAS can effectively reduce H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> -induced ROS production [35]. In another study, MDA level was reported to decrease in steatotic hepatic cells as a result of exposure to 50  $\mu$ mol/L silibinin for 24 hours compared to control group similar with our results [29]. In addition, MDA level was shown to increase in another study that performed in  $H_2O_2$ -induced different cell line which exposed to plant extract containing antioxidant flavonoid according to control group without  $H_2O_2$  exposure [31].

### Conclusion

In conclusion, silibinin was reported to have antiproliferative effect in U-2 OS cells and decreased the catalase enzyme activity and MDA level in a dose-dependent manner. Therefore, it can be used as a useful antioxidant and anti-carcinogen supplement.

#### Limitations

Since the commercial cell lines consist of only a single type of cell, not having complicated coordination mechanisms in their microenvironment and does not interact with the factors such as the extracellular matrix and don't have the same genotypic and/or phenotypic characteristics as in the human body, the studies in which commercial cell lines are used may be misleading [36-41]. Moreover, the absence of recurrence and support of our experiments in terms of in vivo conditions is a limitation of our study.

#### References

- Durfee RA, Mohammed M, Luu HH. Review of Osteosarcoma and Current Management. Rheumatology and therapy 2016;3(2):221-43.
- Broadhead ML, Clark JC, Myers DE, et al. The molecular pathogenesis of osteosarcoma: a review. Sarcoma 2011;2011:959248.
- Gupta RK, Patel AK, Shah N, et al. Oxidative stress and antioxidants in disease and cancer: a review. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP 2014;15(11):4405-9.
- Srinivas US, Tan BWQ, Vellayappan BA, et al. ROS and the DNA damage response in cancer. Redox biology 2019;25:101084.
- Khan MS, Dwivedi S, Priyadarshini M, et al. Ribosylation of bovine serum albumin induces ROS accumulation and cell death in cancer line (MCF-7). European biophysics journal : EBJ 2013;42(11-12):811-8.
- Harris IS, DeNicola GM. The Complex Interplay between Antioxidants and ROS in Cancer. Trends in cell biology 2020;30(6):440-51.
- Soleimani V, Delghandi PS, Moallem SA, et al. Safety and toxicity of silymarin, the major constituent of milk thistle extract: An updated review. Phytotherapy research : PTR 2019;33(6):1627-38.
- Nigmatullaev BA, Duschanova GM, Abdurahmonov BA, et al. Anatomical Structure of Vegetative and Generative Organs of Silybum marianum (L.) Gaertn. (Fam. Asteraceae). American Journal of Plant Sciences 2018;9: 38-43.
- Valková V, Ďúranová H, Bilčíková J, et al. Milk Thistle (Silybum Marianum): A Valuable Medicinal Plant With Several Therapeutic Purposes. J Microbiol Biotech Food Sci 2020;9(4):836-43.
- AbouZid SF, Chen SN, Pauli GF. Silymarin content in Silybum marianum populations growing in Egypt. Industrial crops and products 2016;83:729-37.
- Jiang HH, Yan FS, Shen L, et al. Silymarin versus Silibinin: Differential Antioxidant and Neuroprotective Effects against H2O2induced Oxidative Stress in PC12 Cells. Natural product communications 2016;11(5):633-6.
- 12. Tong WW, Zhang C, Hong T, et al. Silibinin alleviates inflammation and induces apoptosis in human rheumatoid arthritis fibroblast-like synoviocytes and has a therapeutic effect on arthritis in rats. Scientific reports 2018;8(1):3241.
- Murali Iyangar R, Devaraj E. Silibinin Triggers the Mitochondrial Pathway of Apoptosis in Human Oral Squamous Carcinoma Cells. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP 2020;21(7):1877-82.
- 14. Deep G, Singh RP, Agarwal C, et al. Silymarin and silibinin cause G1 and G2-M cell cycle arrest via distinct circuitries in human prostate cancer PC3 cells: a comparison of flavanone silibinin with flavanolignan mixture silymarin. Oncogene 2006;25(7):1053-69.
- 15. Nagarajanab S, Namasivayama N. Silibinin alleviates hyperlipidaemia, restores mucin content, modulates TGF- $\beta$  and fosters apoptosis in experimental rat colon carcinogenesis. Journal of Functional Foods 2014;11:472-81.
- Kaurinovic B, Vastag D. Flavonoids and Phenolic Acids as Potential Natural Antioxidants. Antioxidants IntechOpen 2019:1-20.
- Ligeret H, Brault A, Vallerand D, et al. Antioxidant and mitochondrial protective effects of silibinin in cold preservationwarm reperfusion liver injury. Journal of ethnopharmacology 2008;115(3):507-14.
- Trouillas P, Marsal P, Svobodova A, et al. Mechanism of the antioxidant action of silybin and 2,3-dehydrosilybin flavonolignans: a joint experimental and theoretical study. The journal of physical chemistry A 2008;112(5):1054-63.
- Jain SK, McVie R, Duett J, et al. Erythrocyte membrane lipid peroxidation and glycosylated hemoglobin in diabetes. Diabetes 1989;38(12):1539-43.
- Aebi H, Wyss SR, Scherz B, et al. Heterogeneity of erythrocyte catalase II. Isolation and characterization of normal and variant erythrocyte catalase and their subunits. European journal of biochemistry 1974;48(1):137-45.

- Lartillot S, Kedziora P, Athias A. Purification and characterization of a new fungal catalase. Preparative biochemistry 1988;18(3):241-6.
- 22. Di Sario A, Bendia E, Taffetani S, et al. Hepatoprotective and antifibrotic effect of a new silybin-phosphatidylcholine-Vitamin E complex in rats. Digestive and liver disease : official journal of the Italian Society of Gastroenterology and the Italian Association for the Study of the Liver 2005;37(11):869-76.
- 23. Won DH, Kim LH, Jang B, et al. In vitro and in vivo anti-cancer activity of silymarin on oral cancer. Tumour biology : the journal of the International Society for Oncodevelopmental Biology and Medicine 2018;40(5):1010428318776170.
- 24. Ge Y, Zhang Y, Chen Y, et al. Silibinin causes apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in some human pancreatic cancer cells. International journal of molecular sciences 2011;12(8):4861-71.
- Varga Z, Czompa A, Kakuk G, et al. Inhibition of the superoxide anion release and hydrogen peroxide formation in PMNLs by flavonolignans. Phytotherapy research : PTR 2001;15(7):608-12.
- Mokhtari MJ, Motamed N, Shokrgozar MA. Evaluation of silibinin on the viability, migration and adhesion of the human prostate adenocarcinoma (PC-3) cell line. Cell biology international 2008;32(8):888-92.
- Hogan FS, Krishnegowda NK, Mikhailova M, et al. Flavonoid, silibinin, inhibits proliferation and promotes cell-cycle arrest of human colon cancer. The Journal of surgical research 2007;143(1):58-65.
- McEligot AJ, Yang S, Meyskens FL, Jr. Redox regulation by intrinsic species and extrinsic nutrients in normal and cancer cells. Annual review of nutrition 2005;25:261-95.
- Vecchione G, Grasselli E, Voci A, et al. Silybin counteracts lipid excess and oxidative stress in cultured steatotic hepatic cells. World journal of gastroenterology 2016;22(26):6016-26.
- Shui G, Bao YM, Bo J, et al. Protective effect of protocatechuic acid from Alpinia oxyphylla on hydrogen peroxide-induced oxidative PC12 cell death. European journal of pharmacology 2006;538(1-3):73-9.

- 31. Luo X, Zhang H, Duan Y, et al. Protective effects of radish (Raphanus sativus L.) leaves extract against hydrogen peroxideinduced oxidative damage in human fetal lung fibroblast (MRC-5) cells. Biomedicine & pharmacotherapy = Biomedecine & pharmacotherapie 2018;103:406-14.
- Rice-Evans C. Flavonoid antioxidants. Current medicinal chemistry 2001;8(7):797-807.
- 33. Schroeter H, Boyd C, Spencer JP, et al. MAPK signaling in neurodegeneration: influences of flavonoids and of nitric oxide. Neurobiology of aging 2002;23(5):861-80.
- Halliwell B, Gutteridge JMC. Free Radicals in Biology and Medicine Oxford University Press, USA 2015.
- Jiang J, Yu S, Jiang Z, et al. N-acetyl-serotonin protects HepG2 cells from oxidative stress injury induced by hydrogen peroxide. Oxidative medicine and cellular longevity 2014;2014:310504.
- Karaarslan N, Yilmaz I, Sirin DY. Toxicity of the acetyl-paraaminophenol group of medicines to intact intervertebral disc tissue cells. Experimental and therapeutic medicine 2021;21(2):147.
- Akgun FS, Sirin DY, Yilmaz I, et al. Investigation of the effect of dipyrone on cells isolated from intervertebral disc tissue. Experimental and therapeutic medicine 2019;18(1):216-24.
- Kaya YE, Karaarslan N, Yilmaz I, et al. Investigation of the effects of tigecycline, a semi-synthetic derivative of minocycline, on chondrocyte cultures. Ann Med Res 2020;27(4):1082-7.
- 39. Karaarslan N, Yilmaz I, Sirin DY, et al. Does transcription factor, induced by daptomycin and vancomycin, affect HIF-1 $\alpha$ , Chondroadherin, and COL2A1? Ann Med Res 2018;25(4):756-62.
- 40. Karaarslan N, Yilmaz I, Sirin DY, et al. Do we damage nucleus pulposus tissue while treating cerebrovascular ischemic neurological deficits with nimodipine? Ann Med Res 2018;25(2):266-73.
- Karaarslan N, Yilmaz I, Ozbek H, et al. Are radio-contrast agents commonly used in discography toxic to the intact intervertebral disc tissue cells? Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol 2019;124:181-9.